Plaintiff,

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

Page 1 of 5

-against-

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

07 CV 11440 (MGC)

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, POLICE COMMISSIONER RAYMOND KELLY, DETECTIVE BATTAGLIA, DETECTIVE CHARLES WALSH, JOHN DOE POLICE OFFICERS #1-5,

Defendants.		
	X	

Defendants the City of New York, Police Commissioner Raymond Kelly, Detective Charles Walsh and Detective Frank Battaglia by their attorney, Michael A. Cardozo, Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, for their answer to the complaint, respectfully allege, upon information and belief, as follows:

- 1. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "1" of the complaint, except admit that the plaintiff purports to bring this action as stated therein.
- 2. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "2" of the complaint, except admit that the plaintiff purports to bring this action as stated therein.
- 3. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "3" of the complaint, except admit that the plaintiff purports to seek damages as stated therein.
- 4. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "4" of the complaint, except admit that the plaintiff purports to invoke this Court's jurisdiction as stated therein.
 - 5. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "5" of the complaint.

- 6. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "6" of the complaint, except admit that the plaintiff purports that venue is proper as stated therein.
- 7. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "7" of the complaint.
 - 8. Admit the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "8" of the complaint.
- 9. Deny the allegations contained in paragraph "9" of the complaint, except admit that the City of New York maintains a police department and that Raymond Kelly is employed by the City of New York as the commissioner thereof, and that plaintiff purports to sue Raymond Kelly in his individual and official capacities.
- 10. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "10" of the complaint, except admit that Charles Walsh and Frank Battaglia were employed by the NYPD as a police officers in Brooklyn South Narcotics Division on August 12, 2005, and admit that plaintiff purports to sue Detectives Walsh and Battaglia in their individual capacities.
- 11. The allegations set forth in paragraph "11" of the complaint concerning whether defendants acted under color of state law, to wit, under color of the statutes, ordinances, regulations, policies, customs, and usages of the City and State of New York, constitute conclusions of law rather than averments of fact, and, accordingly, no response is required.
 - 12. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "12" of the complaint.
 - 13. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "13" of the complaint.
- 14. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "14" of the complaint.
 - 15. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "15" of the complaint.
 - 16. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "16" of the complaint.

- 17. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "17" of the complaint and all subparts thereof.
- 18. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph "18" of the complaint, defendants repeat and reallege the responses set forth in all the preceding paragraphs of this answer, as if fully set forth herein.
- 19. The allegations set forth in paragraph "19" of the complaint constitute conclusions of law rather than averments of fact and, accordingly, no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "19" of the complaint.
 - 20. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "20" of the complaint.
- 21. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph "21" of the complaint, defendants repeat and reallege the responses set forth in all the preceding paragraphs of this answer, as if fully set forth herein.
 - 22. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "22" of the complaint.
 - 23. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "23" of the complaint.
 - 24. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "24" of the complaint.
 - 25. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "25" of the complaint.
 - 26. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "26" of the complaint.
 - 27. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "27" of the complaint.
 - 28. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "28" of the complaint.

Page 4 of 5

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

29. The complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

30. Defendants the City of New York, Police Commissioner Raymond Kelly, Detective Charles Walsh and Detective Frank Battaglia have not violated any rights, privileges or immunities under the Constitution or laws of the United States or the State of New York or any political subdivision thereof, or any Act of Congress providing for the protection of civil rights.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

31. Any injury alleged to have been sustained resulted from plaintiff's own culpable or negligent conduct and was not the proximate result of any act of the Defendants.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

32. At all times relevant to the acts alleged in the complaint, Defendant City and its agents and officials acted reasonably in the proper and lawful exercise of their discretion. Therefore, defendant City is entitled to governmental immunity from liability.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

33. Punitive damages cannot be recovered from the City of New York.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

34. Plaintiff's claims may be barred in part by the applicable statute of limitations.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

35. Plaintiff has not complied with the conditions precedent to suit.

AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

36. There was probable cause for plaintiff's arrest and prosecution.

AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

37. The individual defendants, Police Commissioner Raymond Kelly, Detective Charles Walsh and Detective Frank Battaglia, have not violated any clearly established constitutional or statutory right of which a reasonable person would have known and, therefore, are protected by qualified immunity.

AS AND FOR AN TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

38. Police Commissioner Raymond W. Kelly had no personal involvement in plaintiff's arrest.

WHEREFORE, defendants the City of New York, Police Commissioner Raymond Kelly, Detective Charles Walsh and Detective Frank Battaglia request judgment dismissing the complaint in its entirety, together with the costs and disbursements of this action, and such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated:

New York, New York March 20, 2008

MICHAEL A. CARDOZO
Corporation Counsel of
the City of New York
Attorney for defendants
City of New York; Police Commissioner
Raymond Kelly; Detective Charles Walsh
and Detective Frank Battaglia
100 Church Street
New York, New York 10007

By:

ROBYN N. PULLIO (RP 7777)

(212) 788-1090

cc: <u>BY ECF</u>

Nicole Bellina Stoll, Glickman & Bellina, LLP Attorney for Plaintiff 71 Nevins Street Brooklyn, New York 11217